

F. SCAN MDCS FOR SECTION 7.3

The methodology used to determine the scan MDC is based on NUREG-1507 (NRC 1998b). An overview of the approach to determine scan MDCs follows:

- Calculate the fluence rate relative to the exposure rate (FRER) for the range of energies of interest (Section F.1),
- Calculate the probability of interaction (P) between the radiation of interest and the detector (Section F.2),
- Calculate the relative detector response (RDR) for each of the energies of interest (Section F.3),
- Determine the relationship between the detector's net count rate to net exposure rate in counts per minute per microRoentgen per hour, (cpm per $\mu\text{R/h}$, Section F.4),
- Determine the relationship between the detector response and the radionuclide concentration (Section F.5),
- Obtain the minimum detectable count rate (MDCR) for the ideal observer, for a given level of performance, by postulating detector background and a scan rate or observation interval (Section F.6), and
- Relate the MDCR for the ideal observer to a radionuclide concentration (in Bq/kg) to calculate the scan MDC (Section F.7).

F.1 Calculate the Relative Fluence Rate to Exposure Rate (FRER)

For particular gamma energies, the relationship of NaI scintillation detector count rate and exposure rate may be determined analytically (in cpm per $\mu\text{R/h}$). The approach is to determine the gamma fluence rate necessary to yield a fixed exposure rate ($\mu\text{R/h}$) as a function of gamma energy. The fluence rate, following NUREG-1507 (NRC 1998b), is directly proportional to the exposure rate and inversely proportional to the incident photon energy and mass energy absorption coefficient. That is,

$$\text{Fluence Rate}(\text{FRER}) \propto \dot{X} \frac{1}{E_{\gamma}} \frac{1}{(\mu_{en} / \rho)_{air}} \quad (\text{F-1})$$

27 Where:

28 \dot{X} = the exposure rate (set equal to 1 $\mu\text{R/hr}$ for these calculations)
 29 E_γ = energy of the gamma photon of concern (keV)
 30 $(\mu_{\text{en}}/\rho)_{\text{air}}$ = mass energy absorption coefficient in air at the gamma photon energy of
 31 concern (cm^2/g)

32 The mass energy absorption coefficients in air are presented in Table F-1 (natural uranium) and
 33 Table F-2 (natural thorium) along with the calculated fluence rates (up to a constant of
 34 proportionality, since only the ratios of these values are used in subsequent calculations). Note
 35 that while the mass energy absorption coefficients in air, $(\mu_{\text{en}}/\rho)_{\text{air}}$, are tabulated values (NIST
 36 1996), the selected energies are determined by the calculation of the detector response based on
 37 radionuclide concentration (see Section F.5).

38 **F.2 Calculate the Probability of Interaction**

39 Assuming that the primary gamma interaction producing the detector response occurs through
 40 the end of the detector (i.e., through the beryllium window of the detector, as opposed to the
 41 sides), the probability of interaction (P) for a gamma may be calculated using Equation F-2:

$$42 \quad P = 1 - e^{-(\mu/\rho)_{\text{NaI}}(x)(\rho_{\text{NaI}})} = 1 - e^{-(0.117 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g})(0.16 \text{ cm})(3.67 \text{ g/cm}^3)} = 0.066 \text{ at } 400 \text{ keV} \quad (\text{F-2})$$

43 Where:

44 P = probability of interaction (unitless)
 45 $(\mu/\rho)_{\text{NaI}}$ = mass attenuation coefficient of FIDLER NaI crystal at the energy of
 46 interest (e.g., $0.117 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ at 400 keV)
 47 x = thickness of the thin edge of the FIDLER NaI crystal (0.16 cm)
 48 ρ = density of the NaI crystal (3.67 g/cm^3)

49 The mass attenuation coefficients for the NaI crystal and the calculated probabilities for each of
 50 the energies of interest are presented in Table F.1 (natural uranium) and Table F.2 (natural
 51 thorium). The mass attenuation coefficients for NaI were calculated using the XCOM program
 52 (NIST 1998).

Table F.1 Calculation of Detector Response to Natural Uranium

Energy (keV)	$(\mu_{\text{en}}/\rho)_{\text{air}}$ (cm²/g)	FRER (Section F.1)	$(\mu/\rho)_{\text{NaI}}$ cm²/g	P (Section F.2)	RDR (Section F.3)	cpm per $\mu\text{R/h}$ (Section F.4)
15	1.334	0.04998	47.4	1.000	0.04998	28,374
20	0.5389	0.09278	21.8	1.000	0.09278	52,678
30	0.1537	0.2169	7.36	0.9867	0.2140	121,498
40	0.06833	0.3659	18.8	1.000	0.3659	207,725
50	0.04098	0.4880	10.5	0.9979	0.4870	276,511
60	0.03041	0.5481	6.45	0.9773	0.5356	304,123
80	0.02407	0.5193	3.00	0.8282	0.4301	244,204
100	0.02325	0.4301	1.67	0.6249	0.2688	152,606
150	0.02496	0.2671	0.611	0.3015	0.08052	45,717
200	0.02672	0.1871	0.328	0.1752	0.03278	18,613
300	0.02872	0.1161	0.166	0.09288	0.01078	6,120
400	0.02949	0.08477	0.117	0.06640	0.005629	3,196
500	0.02966	0.06743	0.0950	0.05426	0.003659	2,077
600	0.02953	0.05644	0.0822	0.04712	0.002660	1,510
662	0.02931	0.05154	0.0766	0.04398	0.002267	1,287
800	0.02882	0.04337	0.0675	0.03886	0.001685	957
1,000	0.02789	0.03586	0.0588	0.03394	0.001217	691
1,500	0.02547	0.02617	0.0470	0.02722	0.0007125	405
2,000	0.02345	0.02132	0.0415	0.02407	0.0005133	291

54

Table F.2 Calculation of Detector Response for Natural Thorium

Energy (keV)	$(\mu_{\text{en}}/\rho)_{\text{air}}$ (cm²/g)	FRER (Section F.1)	$(\mu/\rho)_{\text{NaI}}$ cm²/g	P (Section F.2)	RDR (Section F.3)	cpm per $\mu\text{R/h}$ (Section F.4)
40	0.06833	0.3659	18.8	1.000	0.3659	207,725
60	0.03041	0.5481	6.45	0.9773	0.5356	304,123
80	0.02407	0.5193	3.00	0.8282	0.4301	244,204
100	0.02325	0.4301	1.67	0.6249	0.2688	152,606
150	0.02496	0.2671	0.611	0.3015	0.08052	45,717
200	0.02672	0.1871	0.328	0.1752	0.03278	18,613
300	0.02872	0.1161	0.166	0.09288	0.01078	6,120
400	0.02949	0.08477	0.117	0.06640	0.005629	3,196
500	0.02966	0.06743	0.0950	0.05426	0.003659	2,077
600	0.02953	0.05644	0.0822	0.04712	0.002660	1,510
662	0.02931	0.05154	0.0766	0.04398	0.002267	1,287
800	0.02882	0.04337	0.0675	0.03886	0.001685	957
1,000	0.02789	0.03586	0.0588	0.03394	0.001217	691
1,500	0.02547	0.02617	0.0470	0.02722	0.0007125	405
2,000	0.02343	0.02134	0.0415	0.02407	0.0005137	292
3,000	0.02057	0.01620	0.0368	0.02138	0.0003464	197

55 **F.3 Calculate the Relative Detector Response**

56 The relative detector response (RDR) for each of the energies of interest is determined by
 57 multiplying the FRER by P. The results are presented in Table F.1 (natural uranium) and Table
 58 F.2 (natural thorium).

F.4 Relationship Between Detector Response and Exposure Rate

Using the same methodology described in Sections F.1 through F.3, FRER, P, and RDR are calculated at the cesium-137 (^{137}Cs) energy of 662 keV and are presented in Table F.1 and Table F.2. The manufacturer of the FIDLER NaI detector provides an estimated response of the crystal in a known radiation field, which is 1,287 cpm per $\mu\text{R/h}$ at the ^{137}Cs energy of 662 keV. The response at 662 keV can be used to determine the response at all other energies of interest using Equation F-3:

$$\frac{\text{cpm}}{\mu\text{R/h}_{E_i}} = \left(\frac{1,287 \text{ cpm}}{\mu\text{R/h}} \right) \times \frac{\text{RDR}_{E_i}}{\text{RDR}_{^{137}\text{Cs}}} \quad (\text{F-3})$$

Where:

- E_i = energy of the photon of interest (keV),
- $\frac{\text{cpm}}{\mu\text{R/h}_{E_i}}$ = response of the detector for energies of interest, Table F.1 and Table F.2,
- RDR_{E_i} = RDR at the energy of interest, Table F.1 and Table F.2, and
- $\text{RDR}_{^{137}\text{Cs}}$ = RDR for ^{137}Cs , Table F.1 and Table F.2.

The responses in cpm per $\mu\text{R/h}$ for each of the decay energies of interest are presented in Table F.1 and Table F.2.

F.5 Relationship Between Detector Response and Radionuclide Concentration

The minimum detectable exposure rate is used to determine the MDC by modeling a specific impacted area. The relationship between the detector response (in cpm) and the radionuclide concentration (in Bq/kg) uses a computer gamma dose modeling code to model the presence of a normalized 1 Bq/kg total activity source term for natural uranium and natural thorium. The following assumptions from NUREG-1507 (NRC 1998b) were used to generate the computer gamma dose modeling runs:

- Impacted media is concrete,
- Density of concrete is 2.3 g/cm^3 ,

- Activity is uniformly distributed into a layer of crushed concrete 15 cm thick,
- Measurement points are 10 cm above the concrete surface,
- Areas of elevated activity are circular with an area of 0.25 m² and a radius of 28 cm,
- 0.051 cm beryllium shield simulates the window of the FIDLER detector, and
- Normalized 1 Bq/kg source term decayed for 50 years to allow ingrowth of decay progeny.

The weighted cpm per $\mu\text{R/h}$ response (weighted instrument sensitivity [WS_i]) for each decay energy is calculated by multiplying the $\mu\text{R/h}$ at 1 Bq/kg (exposure rate with buildup, R_i) by the cpm per $\mu\text{R/h}$ and dividing by the total $\mu\text{R/h}$ (at 1 Bq/kg) for all decay energies of interest (equation F-4):

$$WS_i = \frac{R_i \times (\text{cpm per } \mu\text{R/h})}{R_T} \quad (\text{F-4})$$

Where:

WS_i = weighted instrument sensitivity (cpm per $\mu\text{R/h}$), and

R_i = exposure rate with buildup ($\mu\text{R/h}$)

R_T = Total exposure rate with buildup ($\mu\text{R/h}$)

Calculate the percent of FIDLER response for each of the decay energies of interest by dividing WS_i by the total weighted cpm per $\mu\text{R/h}$ and multiplying by 100 percent (equation F-5):

$$\text{Percent of FIDLER response} = \frac{WS_i \times 100\%}{W_T} \quad (\text{F-5})$$

Where:

W_T = Total WS_i weighted instrument sensitivity (cpm per $\mu\text{R/h}$).

The exposure rates for each of the decay energies of interest are presented in Table F.3 (assuming natural uranium for the source term) and Table F.4 (assuming natural thorium for the source term).

Table F.3 Detector Response to Natural Uranium

Energy keV	R_i ($\mu\text{R/h}$) (Section F.5)	cpm per $\mu\text{R/h}$ (Section F.4)	WS_i (cpm per $\mu\text{R/h}$) (Section F.5)	Percent of FIDLER Response (Section F.5)
15	4.473×10^{-10}	28,374	0	0.00%
20	3.597×10^{-12}	52,678	0	0.00%
30	2.623×10^{-07}	121,498	226	0.504%
40	1.299×10^{-10}	207,725	0	0.00%
50	1.052×10^{-07}	276,511	206	0.460%
60	5.065×10^{-06}	304,123	10903	24.3%
80	1.518×10^{-06}	244,204	2625	5.86%
100	2.309×10^{-05}	152,606	24938	55.7%
150	5.138×10^{-06}	45,717	1663	3.71%
200	2.881×10^{-05}	18,613	3796	8.48%
300	2.237×10^{-07}	6,120	10	0.0216%
400	2.434×10^{-07}	3,196	6	0.0123%
500	4.208×10^{-07}	2,077	6	0.0138%
600	2.048×10^{-06}	1,510	22	0.0489%
800	1.478×10^{-05}	957	100	0.224%
1,000	5.759×10^{-05}	691	282	0.629%
1,500	1.695×10^{-06}	405	5	0.0108%
2,000	2.841×10^{-07}	291	1	0.00131%
Total	1.413×10^{-04}		44,923	100%

Table F.4 Detector Response to Natural Thorium

Energy keV	R_i ($\mu\text{R/h}$) (Section F.5)	cpm per $\mu\text{R/h}$ (Section F.4)	WS_i (cpm per $\mu\text{R/h}$) (Section F.5)	Percent of FIDLER Response (Section F.5)
40	1.299×10^{-06}	207,725	10	0.266%
60	1.816×10^{-06}	304,123	21	0.544%
80	1.989×10^{-04}	244,204	1855	47.8%
100	5.027×10^{-05}	152,606	293	7.55%
150	5.862×10^{-05}	45,717	102	2.64%
200	1.135×10^{-03}	18,613	807	20.8%
300	8.922×10^{-04}	6,120	209	5.37%
400	1.105×10^{-04}	3,196	13	0.348%
500	8.146×10^{-04}	2,077	65	1.67%
600	2.218×10^{-03}	1,510	128	3.30%
800	2.892×10^{-03}	957	106	2.72%
1,000	6.443×10^{-03}	691	170	4.38%
1,500	2.062×10^{-03}	405	32	0.821%
2,000	5.822×10^{-05}	292	1	0.0167%
3,000	9.249×10^{-03}	197	69	1.79%
Total	2.619×10^{-02}		3881	100%

110 F.6 Calculation of Scan Minimum Detectable Count Rates

111 In the computer gamma dose modeling, an impacted area with a radius of 28 cm or
 112 approximately 0.25 m was assumed. Using a scan speed of 0.25 meters per second (m/s)
 113 provides an observation interval of one second.

114 A typical background exposure rate is 10 $\mu\text{R/h}$. Using a conversion factor based upon field
 115 measurements of 1,287 cpm per $\mu\text{R/h}$ for ^{137}Cs (see Section F.4) results in an estimated
 116 background count rate of 12,870 cpm. Converting this value from cpm to counts per second
 117 (cps) using Equation F-6 results in a background of 214.5 cps.

$$118 \quad b(\text{cpm}) \times \frac{1 \text{ min}}{60 \text{ sec}} \times i(\text{sec}) = \frac{1,287 \text{ cpm}}{1 \mu\text{R/h}} \times 10 \mu\text{R/h} \times \frac{1 \text{ min}}{60 \text{ sec}} \times 1 \text{ sec} = 214.5 \text{ cps} \quad (\text{F-6})$$

119 Where:

120 b = background count rate (12,870 cpm)
 121 i = the observation interval length (one second)

122 The MDCR is calculated using the methodology in NUREG-1507 (NRC 1998b) shown in
 123 Equations F-7 and F-8:

$$124 \quad s_i = d' \sqrt{b_i} = 1.38 \times \sqrt{214.5} = 20.21 \text{ counts} \quad (\text{F-7})$$

$$125 \quad s_{i, \text{surveyor}} = \frac{d' \sqrt{b_i}}{\sqrt{p}} = \frac{1.38 \times \sqrt{214.5}}{\sqrt{0.5}} = 28.58 \text{ counts}$$

$$126 \quad \text{MDCR} = s_i \times (60/i) = 20.21 \times (60/1) = 1,212 \text{ cpm} \quad (\text{F-8})$$

$$127 \quad \text{MDCR}_{\text{surveyor}} = s_{i, \text{surveyor}} \times (60/i) = 28.58 \times (60/1) = 1,715 \text{ cpm}$$

128 Where:

129 b_i = the average number of counts in the background interval (214.5 counts)
 130 i = the observation interval length (one second)
 131 p = efficiency of a less than ideal surveyor, range of 0.5 to 0.75 from
 132 NUREG-1507 (NRC 1998b); a value 0.5 was chosen as a conservative
 133 value

134	d'	= detectability index from Table 6.1 of NUREG-1507 (NRC 1998b); a
135		value of 1.38 was selected, which represents a true positive detection rate
136		of 95% and a false positive detection rate of 60%
137	s_i	= minimum detectable number of net source counts in the observation
138		interval (counts)
139	$s_{i,surveyor}$	= minimum detectable number of net source counts in the observation
140		interval by a less than ideal surveyor
141	MDCR	= minimum detectable count rate (cpm)
142	$MDCR_{surveyor}$	= MDCR by a less than ideal surveyor (cpm)
143		

144 **F.7 Calculate the Scan Minimum Detectable Concentration**

145 The scan minimum detectable concentration (MDC) can be calculated from the minimum
 146 detectable exposure rate (MDER). The MDER can be calculated using the previously calculated
 147 total weighted instrument sensitivities (WS_i), in cpm per $\mu R/h$, for natural uranium and natural
 148 thorium as shown in equations F-9 and F-10:

$$149 \quad MDER = \frac{MDCR_{surveyor}}{W_T} \quad (F-9)$$

$$150 \quad \text{Scan MDC} = C \times \frac{MDER}{R_T} \quad (F-10)$$

151 Where:

152	MDER	= MDER for the “ith” source term, by a less than ideal surveyor, ($\mu R/h$)
153	$MDCR_{surveyor}$	= MDCR rate by a less than ideal surveyor (cpm), from Section F.5
154	W_T	= Total weighted instrument sensitivity (cpm per $\mu R/h$, Table F.3 and
155		Table F.4)
156	R_T	= Total exposure rate with buildup ($\mu R/h$, Table F.3 and Table F.4)
157	C	= concentration of source term (set at 1 Bq/kg in Section F.5)
158	Scan MDC	= minimum detectable concentration (Bq/kg)

159 The Scan MDCs for the FIDLER were calculated using Equations F-9 and F-10, and the
 160 instrument response information from Table F.3 (assuming natural uranium as the source term)
 161 and Table F.4 (assuming natural thorium as the source term). The scan MDCs for natural
 162 uranium and natural thorium using a FIDLER are listed in Table F.5.

163

Table F.5 Scan MDCs for FIDLER

Source Term	MDCR_{surveyor} (cpm) Section F.6	W_T (cpm per $\mu\text{R/h}$) Section F.5	MDER ($\mu\text{R/h}$) Section F.7	R_T ($\mu\text{R/h}$) Section F.5	C (Bq/kg) Section F.5	Scan MDC (Bq/kg) Section F.7
Natural Uranium	1,715	44,786	0.03829	1.413×10^{-04}	1	271 \approx 300
Natural Thorium	1,715	3,881	0.4419	2.619×10^{-02}	1	16.9 \approx 20

164 The scan MDCs of approximately 300 Bq/kg for uranium and 20 Bq/kg for thorium are both less
165 than their respective NUREG-1640-based activity action levels of 38,000 and 330 Bq/kg,
166 respectively.